

ADDENDUM NO. 2

TO SPEC. 04-201

Professional Consultant Services for Updating a Pavement Management System

Proposal submittal deadline shall remain the same: on or before noon, Wednesday, August 11, 2004 in the office of the Purchasing Agent, Suite 200, K Street Complex, 440 S. 8th St., Lincoln, NE 68508.

Question: In Section 1 General, item 1.1 you refer to "evaluate and update an existing PMS". By "evaluate" are you asking us to determine if your existing CG PavementView Plus has been properly implemented or to determine if there is a more appropriate product available that would better meet your needs?

Answer: See Addendum #1

Question: In item 1.3 you cover some of the same territory again. Can you confirm the following:

1. You want to know if the CG system is the best fit for your needs:.
2. You require the consultant to implement the CG system (or its replacement) as you have not implemented the CG system yet;

Answer:

1. Yes, see Addendum #1
2. The software is on our server, but has not been used or populated with data, etc.

Question: In item 1.3, you also refer to implementing the CG PV Plus and Work Director. For clarification, are we to propose on implementing the Work Director as well?

Answer: Cartegraph Software and its associated modules (lay terms here) have been purchased and put onto the City's servers, no work, data, etc. has been done to implement further usage of the software for pavement management purposes. Work Director implementation to be determined in scoping with selected consultant.

Question: Section 8 "Background", item 8.3.3 refers to a breakdown of mileage by functional class, but the breakdown appears to be missing.

Answer: See Addendum #1

Question: Section 8 "Background", item 8.3.2.2 refers to linking the pms to the City's GIS system and permits plus. Is the City's "present road segment file" built on the same basis as the GIS? That is, is there a one-to-one match (i.e. block by block) between each record in the pms database with a corresponding arc in the GIS? Will you be utilizing ESRI products and not Microstation for any PMS mapping?

Answer: Yes segment file is same basis as GIS. We intend to use ESRI for mapping.

Question: What data (inventory, attribute & history) is included in your current road segment file?
Answer: None. See Addendum #1

Question: What is the difference between Item 10.1.1.2.2 and 10.1.1.2.3?
Answer: 10.1.1.2.2 is functional classifications and 10.1.1.2.3 is political classifications for funding purposes.

Question: By "segment-level analysis" in item 10.1.1.2.10, are you referring to project level analysis to determine the detailed specific rehabilitation activity required after the network and budget analysis have determined what the short term projects should be? If so, do you want this analysis to utilize deflection data on pavements where the traffic conditions warrant?
Answer: It is expected that the consultant will make recommendations on this to the City.

Question: In Item 10.1.1.1, the RFP states "gather all roadway related data by incorporating into and utilizing the existing". Then in Item 10.1.1.2 the RFP goes on to define what that "the necessary PMS must contain features and functionality that facilitate the ability to record and maintain a detailed inventory of the road network that includes". Is it your intent that Item 10.1.1.1 requires the collection of all the detailed data as listed under Item 10.1.1.2, under this project?
Answer: It is the intent that the consultant will make recommendations on what data to collect to fully implement a successful PMS. The listing under section 10 may or may not be inclusive of all data needed.

Question: Does Item 10.1.1.4.12 require that completed work orders in Work Director automatically trigger edits to the PMS database (see Item 10.1.1.4.14)?
Answer: It would be desirous to have this capability.

Question: Upon the notification of the short list of consultants you will interview, will there be time and opportunity to review the existing "road segment file" as well as other sources of data the City, Lancaster County or other local agencies may have? This would be beneficial in preparing the fee schedule required for submittal at the interview.
Answer: Yes.

Question: Based on information determined in the "Phase 1 Needs Assessment" and the City's decisions based on that report, there may be a need to revise the project scope and the associated fees. Is this what the City expects?
Answer: Yes.

All other terms and conditions to remain unchanged.

Dated this 6th day of August, 2004.

Purchasing Department

Mary L. Matson
Assistant Purchasing Agent